

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,312	08/08/2005	James Morley Hulme Douglas	23161	6664
535 K.F. ROSS P.	7590 03/26/200	8	EXAM	IINER
5683 RIVERE	DALE AVENUE		BONCK, R	ODNEY H
SUITE 203 BO BRONX, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/518,312	DOUGLAS, JAMES MORLEY HULME
Examiner	Art Unit
Rodney H. Bonck	3681

Office Action Summary		HULME		
cincorionon cuminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Rodney H. Bonck	3681		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of the communication, if No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or oxended period for reply with by statute, Any reply received by the Office ster has three months after the mailing earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2008.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 16-19 is/are pending in the application	n			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	·			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 December 2007 is/a	·- · ·- ·	•	iiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents: 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati- rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

٨	tta	-	 	~6	/~ ì

1) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 3, 2008 has been entered.

Drawings

The replacement sheets of drawings were received on December 26, 2007.

These drawings are objected to for the reasons set forth below.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the treatment head, too machine, and means for shifting the couplings must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 3681

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The treatment head and tool machine now claimed have not been adequately disclosed. Also the means for shifting the couplings relative to each other does not appear to be adequately shown or disclosed in the application.

Art Unit: 3681

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Since the disclosure does not appear to show or describe structure corresponding to the means for shifting the couplings, the metes and bounds of the claim are not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-19, insofar as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Saj('780) or Pieczulewski('488). Saj discloses an indexable mount having a first coupling having first and second elements 74, 76 displaceable relative to each other and having teeth that differ in number by more than one tooth, a second coupling having first and second elements 78, 80 engageable with the first and second elements of the first coupling, fixed relative to each other and having teeth differing in number by more than one tooth, and means 84 for shifting the coupling s relative to one

Art Unit: 3681

another. Similarly, Pieczulewski discloses an indexable mount having a first coupling having first and second elements 34, 43 displaceable relative to each other and having teeth that differ in number by more than one tooth, a second coupling having first and second elements 62, 64 engageable with the first and second elements of the first coupling, fixed relative to each other and having teeth differing in number by more than one tooth, and means 48 for shifting the couplings relative to one another. The plate 14 of Saj and the plate 12of Pieczulewski are readable as a treatment head of a tool machine insofar as defined by the claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 3, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the applied prior art does not disclose "the concept of obtaining high accuracy machining by adjusting the treatment head with as special indexing apparatus." There is no structure recited that would perform any machining operation. Since the structure of the coupling recited is met by the couplings in the prior art, they would appear to provide the same degree of accuracy.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney H. Bonck whose telephone number is (571) 272-7089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

Art Unit: 3681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rodney H. Bonck/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3681

rhb March 18, 2008